

Appln. No. 10/789,615  
Amendment dated February 1, 2006  
Reply to Office Action mailed November 1, 2005

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Entry of the above amendments is courteously requested in order to place all claims in this application in allowable condition and/or to place the non-allowed claims in better condition for consideration on appeal.

Claim 11 remains in this application. Claims 1 through 10 have been cancelled. No claims have been withdrawn or added.

**Paragraph 3 of the Office Action**

Claim 1 has been objected to for the informalities noted in the Office Action.

Claim 1 has been cancelled, but the text of claim 1 that has been incorporated into claim 11 has been changed as suggested in the Office Action.

Withdrawal of the objection to claim 1 is therefore respectfully requested.

**Paragraphs 3 through 8 of the Office Action**

Claims 1, 3, and 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Alviti.

Claims 1, 2, and 6 through 8 through \_ have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Matsushita.

Claim 5 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alviti in view of Zubalik.

Claims 1 through 10 have been cancelled, and claim 11, which was indicated as being allowable if rewritten into independent form, has been

Appln. No. 10/789,615  
Amendment dated February 1, 2006  
Reply to Office Action mailed November 1, 2005

amended to include the requirements of claim 1, and therefore the 102(b)  
and §103(a) rejections are submitted to be moot.

**CONCLUSION**

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early  
reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously  
solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

WOODS, FULLER, SHULTZ & SMITH P.C.



Date: FEB 1, 2006

Jeffrey A. Proehl (Reg. No. 35,987)  
Customer No. 40,158  
P.O. Box 5027  
Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5027  
(605)336-3890 FAX (605)339-3357